

Opportunities to improve primary care research reports to meet needs of researchers, clinicians, patients and policymakers

William Phillips (U Washington, USA), Elizabeth Sturgiss (Monash U, Australia), Liesbeth Hunik (Radboud U, Netherlands), Paul Glasziou (Bond U, Australia), Tim olde Hartman (Radboud U, Netherlands), Aaron Orkin (U Toronto, Canada), Joanne Reeve (Hull York Medical School, UK), Grant Russell (Monash U, Australia), Chris van Weel (Radboud U, Netherlands)

Rationale

Consensus Reporting Items for Studies in Primary Care (CRISP) - is an international, multidisciplinary initiative to identify opportunities to improve the reporting of research in primary care (PC).

Users report difficulty using PC research reports, particularly in synthesizing findings across studies, applying to policy and transferring to practice.

Methods

Two international, inter-professional, inter-specialty online survey, October 2018 – August 2019

PC researchers, clinicians, educators and leaders

Purposeful and snowball sampling

➤ **What opportunities are there for improvement in the reporting of primary care research?**

Open text comments on special needs in PC, common problems, and potential areas for improvement.

PC has many contexts, types of practitioners and takes ... into account pt. centered care and multimorbidities and preventative medicine.

Public health scientist; researcher;
Australia, F

Respondents

580 respondents from 24 nations across two surveys:

60% physicians, 11% scientists, 7% educators, 3% nurses.

44% reported advanced research experience

Opportunities exist to make reports of research more valuable for primary care clinicians, researchers, patients and policymakers.

CRISP

CONSENSUS REPORTING ITEMS
FOR STUDIES IN PRIMARY CARE

<https://sites.uw.edu/crisprec/>

For more information, contact CRISP co-conveners

William R. Phillips MD, MPH, FAAFP - wphllps@uw.edu

Liz Sturgiss FRACGP, PhD, MPH - liz.sturgiss@monash.edu

We should strive to make our findings accessible & comprehensible to the communities we serve.
Public health; educator, manager, community member/patient; USA; F

Results - Opportunities for improvement

- More explicit reporting of context: setting of research and clinical care
- Describe relationships between patients & clinicians
- Practical details of interventions
- Transferability to the variety of PC settings

Conclusions

- There is a role for guidance on reporting PC research.
- Primary care could lead the way for improvement in other areas of health research.

Next Steps

Delphi consensus study to identify priorities on guidance for the reporting of primary care research.

NAPCRG 1614

<https://youtu.be/Fq9GVxXciiM>